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## Chapter Summary

- Relations and Their Properties
- n-ary Relations and Their Applications (not currently included in overheads)
- Representing Relations
- Closures of Relations (not currently included in overheads)
- Equivalence Relations
- Partial Orderings


## Relations and Their Properties

Section 9.1

- Relations and Functions
- Properties of Relations
- Reflexive Relations
- Symmetric and Antisymmetric Relations
- Transitive Relations
- Combining Relations


## Binary Relations

Definition: A binary relation $R$ from a set $A$ to a set $B$ is a subset $R \subseteq A \times B$.

## Example:

- Let $A=\{0,1,2\}$ and $B=\{a, b\}$
- $\{(0, a),(0, b),(1, a),(2, b)\}$ is a relation from $A$ to $B$.
- We can represent relations from a set $A$ to a set $B$ graphically or using a table:


> Relations are more general than functions. A function is a relation where exactly one element of $B$ is related to each element of $A$.

## Binary Relation on a Set

Definition: $A$ binary relation $R$ on $a \operatorname{set} A$ is a subset of $A \times A$ or a relation from $A$ to $A$.

## Example:

- Suppose that $A=\{a, b, c\}$. Then $R=\{(a, a),(a, b),(a, c)\}$ is a relation on $A$.
- Let $A=\{1,2,3,4\}$. The ordered pairs in the relation $\mathrm{R}=\{(a, b) \mid a$ divides $b\}$ are

$$
(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,2),(2,4),(3,3), \text { and }(4,4)
$$

## Binary Relation on a Set (cont.)

Question: How many relations are there on a set $A$ ?

Solution: Because a relation on $A$ is the same thing as a subset of $A \times A$, we count the subsets of $A \times A$. Since $A \times A$ has $n^{2}$ elements when $A$ has $n$ elements, and a set with $m$ elements has $2^{m}$ subsets, there are $2^{|A|^{2}}$ subsets of $A \times A$. Therefore, there are $2^{|A|^{2}}$ relations on a set $A$.

## Binary Relations on a Set (cont.)

Example: Consider these relations on the set of integers:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1}=\{(a, b) \mid a \leq b\}, & R_{4}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b\}, \\
R_{2}=\{(a, b) \mid a>b\}, & R_{5}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b+1\}, \\
R_{3}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b \text { or } a=-b\}, & R_{6}=\{(a, b) \mid a+b \leq 3\} .
\end{array}
$$

```
Note that these relations are on an infinite set and each of these relations is an infinite
set.
```

Which of these relations contain each of the pairs:
$(1,1),(1,2),(2,1),(1,-1)$, and $(2,2)$ ?

Solution: Checking the conditions that define each relation, we see that the pair $(1,1)$ is in $R_{1}, R_{3}, R_{4}$, and $R_{6}$ : $(1,2)$ is in $R_{1}$ and $R_{6}$ : $(2,1)$ is in $R_{2}$, $R_{5}$, and $R_{6}:(1,-1)$ is in $R_{2}, R_{3}$, and $R_{6}:(2,2)$ is in $R_{1}, R_{3}$, and $R_{4}$.

## Reflexive Relations

Definition: $R$ is reflexive iff $(a, a) \in R$ for every element $a \in \mathrm{~A}$. Written symbolically, R is reflexive if and only if

$$
\forall x[x \in U \rightarrow(x, x) \in R]
$$

Example: The following relations on the integers are reflexive:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=\{(a, b) \mid a \leq b\}, \\
& R_{3}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b \text { or } a=-b\}, \\
& R_{4}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A=\varnothing$ then the empty relation is reflexive vacuously. That is the empty relation on an empty set is reflexive!

The following relations are not reflexive:
$R_{2}=\{(a, b) \mid a>b\}$ (note that $3>3$ ),
$R_{5}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b+1\}$ (note that $3 \neq 3+1$ ),
$R_{6}=\{(a, b) \mid a+b \leq 3\}$ (note that $4+4 \nsubseteq 3$ ).

## Symmetric Relations

Definition: $R$ is symmetric iff $(b, a) \in R$ whenever $(a, b)$ $\in R$ for all $a, b \in A$. Written symbolically, $R$ is symmetric if and only if $\forall x \forall y[(x, y) \in R \longrightarrow(y, x) \in R]$ Example: The following relations on the integers are symmetric:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{3}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b \text { or } a=-b\}, \\
& R_{4}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b\}, \\
& R_{6}=\{(a, b) \mid a+b \leq 3\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following are not symmetric:
$R_{1}=\{(a, b) \mid a \leq b\}$ (note that $3 \leq 4$, but $4 \nsubseteq 3$ ),
$R_{2}=\{(a, b) \mid a>b\}$ (note that $4>3$, but $3>4$ ),
$R_{5}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b+1\}$ (note that $4=3+1$, but $3 \neq 4+1$ ).

## Antisymmetric Relations

Definition:A relation $R$ on a set $A$ such that for all $a, b \in A$ if $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, a) \in R$, then $a=b$ is called antisymmetric. Written symbolically, $R$ is antisymmetric if and only if

$$
\forall x \forall y[(x, y) \in R \wedge(y, x) \in R \rightarrow x=y]
$$

- Example: The following relations on the integers are antisymmetric:

```
\(R_{1}=\{(a, b) \mid a \leq b\}\),
\(R_{2}=\{(a, b) \mid a>b\}\),
For any integer, if a \(a \leq b\) and \(a\)
\(\leq b\), then \(a=b\).
\(R_{4}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b\}\),
\(R_{5}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b+1\}\).
```

The following relations are not antisymmetric:
$R_{3}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b$ or $a=-b\}$
(note that both ( $1,-1$ ) and ( $-1,1$ ) belong to $R_{3}$ ),
$R_{6}=\{(a, b) \mid a+b \leq 3\}$ (note that both $(1,2)$ and $(2,1)$ belong to $R_{6}$ ).

## Transitive Relations

Definition: A relation $R$ on a set $A$ is called transitive if whenever $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$, then $(a, c) \in R$, for all $a, b, c$ $\in A$. Written symbolically, $R$ is transitive if and only if

$$
\forall x \forall y \forall z[(x, y) \in R \wedge(y, z) \in \mathrm{R} \rightarrow(x, z) \in R]
$$

- Example: The following relations on the integers are transitive:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1}=\{(a, b) \mid a \leq b\}, & \\
R_{2}=\{(a, b) \mid a>b\}, & \text { For every integer, } a \leq b \\
R_{3}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b \text { or } a=-b\}, & \\
R_{4}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b\} . &
\end{array}
$$

The following are not transitive:
$R_{5}=\{(a, b) \mid a=b+1\}$ (note that both $(3,2)$ and $(4,3)$ belong to $R_{5}$, but not $(3,3)$ ),
$R_{6}=\{(a, b) \mid a+b \leq 3\}$ (note that both $(2,1)$ and (1,2) belong to $R_{6}$, but not $(2,2))$.

## Combining Relations

- Given two relations $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, we can combine them using basic set operations to form new relations such as $R_{1} \cup R_{2}$, $R_{1} \cap R_{2}, R_{1}-R_{2}$, and $R_{2}-R_{1}$.
- Example: Let $A=\{1,2,3\}$ and $B=\{1,2,3,4\}$. The relations $R_{1}=\{(1,1),(2,2),(3,3)\}$ and $R_{2}=\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4)\}$ can be combined using basic set operations to form new relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1} \cup R_{2}=\{(1,1),(1,2),(1,3),(1,4),(2,2),(3,3)\} \\
& R_{1} \cap R_{2}=\{(1,1)\} \quad R_{1}-R_{2}=\{(2,2),(3,3)\} \\
& R_{2}-R_{1}=\{(1,2),(1,3),(1,4)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Composition

## Definition: Suppose

- $R_{1}$ is a relation from a set $A$ to a set $B$.
- $R_{2}$ is a relation from $B$ to a set $C$.

Then the composition (or composite) of $R_{2}$ with $R_{1}$, is a relation from $A$ to $C$ where

- if $(x, y)$ is a member of $R_{1}$ and $(y, z)$ is a member of $R_{2}$, then $(x, z)$ is a member of $R_{2} \circ R_{1}$.

Representing the Composition of a Relation


## Powers of a Relation

Definition: Let $R$ be a binary relation on $A$. Then the powers $R^{n}$ of the relation $R$ can be defined inductively by:

- Basis Step: $R^{1}=R$
- Inductive Step: $R^{n+1}=R^{n} \circ R$
(see the slides for Section 9.3 for further insights


## Powers of a Relation

The powers of a transitive relation are subsets of the relation. This is established by the following theorem:

Theorem 1: The relation $R$ on a set $A$ is transitive
iff $R^{n} \subseteq R$ for $n=1,2,3 \ldots$...
(see the text for a proof via mathematical induction)

## Representing Relations

## Section 9.3

- Representing Relations using Matrices
- Representing Relations using Digraphs


## Representing Relations Using Matrices

- A relation between finite sets can be represented using a zero-one matrix.
- Suppose $R$ is a relation from $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\}$ to $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$.
- The elements of the two sets can be listed in any particular arbitrary order. When $A=B$, we use the same ordering.


## Representing Relations Using Matrices

- The relation $R$ is represented by the matrix $M_{R}=\left[m_{i j}\right]$, where

$$
m_{i j}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if }\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \in R \\
0 \text { if }\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \notin R
\end{array}\right.
$$

- The matrix representing $R$ has a 1 as its $(i, j)$ entry when $a_{i}$ is related to $b_{j}$ and a 0 if $a_{i}$ is not related to $b_{j}$.


## Examples of Representing Relations Using Matrices

Example 1: Suppose that $A=\{1,2,3\}$ and $B=\{1,2\}$. Let $R$ be the relation from $A$ to $B$ containing $(a, b)$ if $a \in A, \quad b \in B$, and $a>b$. What is the matrix representing $R$ (assuming the ordering of elements is the same as the increasing numerical order)?
Solution: Because $R=\{(2,1),(3,1),(3,2)\}$, the matrix is

$$
M_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## Examples of Representing Relations Using Matrices (cont.)

Example 2: Let $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}\right.$, $\left.b_{5}\right\}$. Which ordered pairs are in the relation $R$ represented by the matrix

$$
M_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] ?
$$

Solution: Because $R$ consists of those ordered pairs $\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right)$ with $m_{i j}=1$, it follows that:

$$
R=\left\{\left(a_{1}, b_{2}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{4}\right),\left(a_{3}, b_{1}\right),\left\{\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right),\left(a_{3}, b_{5}\right)\right\}\right.
$$

## Matrices of Relations on Sets

- If $R$ is a reflexive relation, all the elements on the main diagonal of $M_{R}$ are equal to 1 .

- $R$ is a symmetric relation, if and only if $m_{i j}=1$ whenever $m_{j i}=1 . R$ is an antisymmetric relation, if and only if $m_{i j}=0$ or $m_{j i}=0$ when $i \neq j$.

(a) Symmetric

(b) Antisymmetric


## Example of a Relation on a Set

Example 3: Suppose that the relation $R$ on a set is represented by the matrix

$$
M_{R}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Is $R$ reflexive, symmetric, and/or antisymmetric?
Solution: Because all the diagonal elements are equal to $1, R$ is reflexive. Because $M_{R}$ is symmetric, $R$ is symmetric and not antisymmetric because both $m_{1,2}$ and $m_{2,1}$ are 1.

## Representing Relations Using Digraphs

Definition: A directed graph, or digraph, consists of a set $V$ of vertices (or nodes) together with a set $E$ of ordered pairs of elements of $V$ called edges (or arcs). The vertex $a$ is called the initial vertex of the edge $(a, b)$, and the vertex $b$ is called the terminal vertex of this edge.

- An edge of the form $(a, a)$ is called a loop.

Example 7: A drawing of the directed graph with vertices $a$, $b, c$, and $d$, and edges $(a, b),(a, d),(b, b),(b, d),(c, a),(c, b)$, and $(d, b)$ is shown here.


## Examples of Digraphs Representing Relations

Example 8: What are the ordered pairs in the relation represented by this directed graph?


Solution: The ordered pairs in the relation are $(1,3),(1,4),(2,1),(2,2),(2,3),(3,1),(3,3)$, $1)$, and (4, 3)

## Determining which Properties a Relation has from its Digraph

- Reflexivity: A loop must be present at all vertices in the graph.
- Symmetry: If $(x, y)$ is an edge, then so is $(y, x)$.
- Antisymmetry: If $(x, y)$ with $x \neq y$ is an edge, then $(y, x)$ is not an edge.
- Transitivity: If $(x, y)$ and $(y, z)$ are edges, then so is $(x, z)$.


## Determining which Properties a Relation has from its Digraph - Example 1



## $b$


$d>$

## Reflexive? No, not every vertex has a loop

Symmetric? Yes (trivially), there is no edge from one vertex to another
Antisymmetric? Yes (trivially), there is no edge from one vertexto another
Transitive? Yes, (trivially) since there is no edge from one vertex to another

## Determining which Properties a Relation has from its Digraph - Example 2



Reflexive? No, there are no loops
Symmetric? No, there is an edge from $a$ to $b$, but not from $b$ to $a$
Antisymmetric? No, there is an edge from $d$ to $b$ and $b$ to $d$
Transitive? No, there are edges from $a$ to $c$ and from $c$ to $b$, but there is no edge from $a$ to $d$

## Determining which Properties a Relation has from its Digraph - Example 3



## Reflexive? No, there are no loops

Symmetric? No, for example, there is no edge from $c$ to $a$
Antisymmetric? Yes, whenever there is an edge from onevertex to another, there is not one going back
Transitive? No, there is no edge from $a$ to $b$

## Determining which Properties a Relation has from its Digraph - Example 4



Reflexive? No, there are no loops

Symmetric? No, for example, there is no edge from $d$ to $a$

Antisymmetric? Yes, whenever there is an edge from one vertex to another, there is not one going back

Transitive? Yes (trivially), there are no two edges where the first edge ends at the vertex where the second edge begins

## Example of the Powers of a Relation



The pair $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is in $R^{n}$ if there is a path of length $n$ from $x$ to $y$ in $R$ (following the direction of the arrows).

## Equivalence Relations

## Section 9.5

- Equivalence Relations
- Equivalence Classes
- Equivalence Classes and Partitions


## Equivalence Relations

Definition 1: A relation on a set $A$ is called an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

Definition 2: Two elements $a$, and $b$ that are related by an equivalence relation are called equivalent. The notation $a \sim b$ is often used to denote that $a$ and $b$ are equivalent elements with respect to a particular equivalence relation.

## Strings

Example: Suppose that $R$ is the relation on the set of strings of English letters such that $a R b$ if and only if $I(a)=I(b)$, where $I(x)$ is the length of the string $x$. Is $R$ an equivalence relation?

Solution: Show that all of the properties of an equivalence relation hold.

- Reflexivity: Because $I(a)=I(a)$, it follows that $a R a$ for all strings $a$.
- Symmetry: Suppose that $a R b$. Since $/(a)=\|(b), I(b)$ $=I(a)$ also holds and bRa.
- Transitivity: Suppose that aRb and bRc. Since I(a) $=I(b)$, and $I(b)=I(c), I(a)=I(a)$ also holds and aRc.


## Congruence Modulo $m$

Example: Let $m$ be an integer with $m>1$. Show that the relation $R=\{(a, b) \mid a \equiv b(\bmod m)\}$ is an equivalence relation on the set of integers.

Solution: Recall that $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ if and only if $m$ divides $a-b$.

- Reflexivity: $a \equiv a(\bmod m)$ since $a-a=0$ is divisible by $m$ since $0=0 \cdot m$.
- Symmetry: Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$. Then $a-b$ is divisible by $m$, and so $a-b=k m$, where $k$ is an integer. It follows that $b-a=$ $(-k) m$, so $b \equiv a(\bmod m)$.
- Transitivity: Suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod m)$ and $b \equiv c(\bmod m)$. Then $m$ divides both $a-b$ and $b-c$. Hence, there are integers $k$ and $/$ with $a-b=k m$ and $b-c=I m$. We obtain by adding the equations: $a-c=(a-b)+(b-c)=k m+l m=(k+l) m$.
Therefore, $a \equiv c(\bmod m)$.


## Divides

Example: Show that the "divides" relation on the set of positive integers is not an equivalence relation.

Solution: The properties of reflexivity, and transitivity do hold, but there relation is not transitive. Hence, "divides" is not an equivalence relation.

- Reflexivity: $a \mid a$ for all $a$.
- Not Symmetric: For example, $2 \mid 4$, but $4 \nmid 2$. Hence, the relation is not symmetric.
- Transitivity: Suppose that $a$ divides $b$ and $b$ divides $c$. Then there are positive integers $k$ and $l$ such that $b=a k$ and $c=b /$. Hence, $c=a(k /)$, so $a$ divides $c$. Therefore, the relation is transitive.


## Equivalence Classes

Definition 3: Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. The set of all elements that are related to an element $a$ of $A$ is called the equivalence class of $a$. The equivalence class of $a$ with respect to $R$ is denoted by $[a]_{R}$.
When only one relation is under consideration, we can write [a], without the subscript $R$, for this equivalence class.

Note that $[a]_{R}=\{s /(a, s) \in R\}$.

## Equivalence Classes

- If $b \in[a]_{R}$, then $b$ is called a representative of this equivalence class. Any element of a class can be used as a representative of the class.
- The equivalence classes of the relation congruence modulo $m$ are called the congruence classes modulo $m$. The congruence class of an integer a modulo $m$ is denoted by $[a]_{m}$, so $[a]_{m}=\{\ldots, a-2 m, a-m, a+2 m, a+2 m, \ldots\}$. For example,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{[0]_{4}=\{\ldots,-8,-4,0,4,8, \ldots\}} & {[1]_{4}=\{\ldots,-7,-3,1,5,9, \ldots\}} \\
{[2]_{4}=\{\ldots,-6,-2,2,6,10, \ldots\}} & {[3]_{4}=\{\ldots,-5,-1,3,7,11, \ldots\}}
\end{array}
$$

## Equivalence Classes and Partitions

Theorem 1: let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. These statements for elements $a$ and $b$ of $A$ are equivalent:
(i) $a R b$
(ii) $[a]=[b]$
(iii) $[a] \cap[b]=\emptyset$

Proof: We show that (i) implies (ii). Assume that $a R b$. Now suppose that $\mathrm{c} \in[a]$. Then $a R c$. Because $a R b$ and $R$ is symmetric, $b R a$. Because $R$ is transitive and $b R a$ and $a R c$, it follows that $b R c$. Hence, $c \in[b]$. Therefore, $[a] \subseteq[b]$. A similar argument (omitted here) shows that $[b] \subseteq[a]$. Since $[a] \subseteq[b]$ and $[b] \subseteq[a]$, we have shown that $[a]=[b]$.

## Partition of a Set

Definition: A partition of a set $S$ is a collection of disjoint nonempty subsets of $S$ that have $S$ as their union. In other words, the collection of subsets $A_{i}$, where $i \in I$ (where I is an index set), forms a partition of $S$ if and only if

- $A_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for $i \in I$,
- $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ when $i \neq j$,
- and $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}=S$.



## An Equivalence Relation Partitions a Set

- Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $A$. The union of all the equivalence classes of $R$ is all of $A$, since an element $a$ of $A$ is in its own equivalence class $[a]_{R}$. In other words,

$$
\bigcup_{a \in A}[a]_{R}=A .
$$

- From Theorem 1, it follows that these equivalence classes are either equal or disjoint, so $[a]_{R} \cap[b]_{R}=\emptyset$ when $[a]_{R} \neq$ $[b]_{R}$.
- Therefore, the equivalence classes form a partition of $A$, because they split $A$ into disjoint subsets.


## An Equivalence Relation Partitions a Set

Theorem 2: Let $R$ be an equivalence relation on a set $S$. Then the equivalence classes of $R$ form a partition of $S$. Conversely, given a partition $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ of the set $S$, there is an equivalence relation $R$ that has the sets $A_{j}, i \in I$, as its equivalence classes.

Proof: We have already shown the first part of the theorem.For the second part, assume that $\left\{A_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ is a partition of $S$. Let $R$ be the relation on $S$ consisting of the pairs $(x, y)$ where $x$ and $y$ belong to the same subset $A_{i}$ in the partition. We must show that $R$ satisfies the properties of an equivalence relation.

## An Equivalence Relation Partitions a Set (Cont.)

- Reflexivity: For every $a \in S,(a, a) \in R$, because $a$ is in the same subset as itself.
- Symmetry: If $(a, b) \in R$, then $b$ and $a$ are in the same subset of the partition, so $(b, a) \in R$.
- Transitivity: If $(a, b) \in R$ and $(b, c) \in R$, then $a$ and $b$ are in the same subset of the partition, as are $b$ and $c$. Since the subsets are disjoint and $b$ belongs to both, the two subsets of the partition must be identical. Therefore, $(a, c) \in R$ since $a$ and $c$ belong to the same subset of the partition.

